

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	io.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,974		07/25/2001	Michael A. Koptiw JR.	2000-0453	6541
26652	7590	07/28/2004		EXAMINER	
AT&T C	CORP.		UBILES, MARIE C		
P.O. BOX MIDDLE		NJ 07748	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,,			2642	9
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		cation No.	Applicant(s)						
		12,974	KOPTIW ET AL.						
Office Action Summary		niner	Art Unit						
		C. Ubiles	2642						
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appears or	n the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	idress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the second of the sec	MUNICATION. risions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In rommunication. rity (30) days, a reply within the right apply a reply will, by statute, cause the onths after the mailing date of the	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of thi and will expire SIX (6) MOI e application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this of NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <i>14 May 200</i>	14 .							
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action								
3) Since this application is in cond	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in accordance with the p	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims				•					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,8,10 and 11 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,8,10 and 11 is/are 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to re	is/are withdrawn from rejected. to.	n consideration.							
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to t	y the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	O-152)					

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Applicant's amendment filed on May 14, 2004 has been entered. Claims 3, 4, 8 and 10 have been amended. Claims 1-2, 5-7, 9 and 12 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 3,4, 8 and 10-11 are still pending in this application, with claims 3, 4, 8 and 10 being independent. The indicated allowability of claims 3-4, 8 and 10-11 is withdrawn in view of the new rejections below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 3, 4, 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin et al. (US 5,999,610).

In regards to claim 3, Lin et al. discloses a method for managing multiple communications between a control point (or *MP 200, See Fig. 4*) and a plurality of network elements (or *SCPs 406-410 and SSP 104, See Fig. 4*) in a telecommunications network (*See Abstract, lines 1-2*), comprising; receiving at a Service Interaction Media (SIM) device (or *FI Manager 314, See Fig. 4*) call information associated with a call at one of said network elements (*See Col. 5, lines 37-38*), deriving at the SIM device a service code (as read on "information [from the SSP] must be processed by the FIM 314")(*See Col. 5, lines 39-41*), formulating a service session with the one network element based on the service

Art Unit: 2642

code (See Col. 5, lines 43-51), controlling operation of the one network element (See Col. 5, lines 47-50).

While not directly discussed as part of the discussed embodiment, Lin et al. teaches that the AIN is applicable to all telecommunications networks including ISDN and that data packets information are sent between the SSP and SCP utilizing SS7 (See Background, Col. 1, lines 20-25 and Col. 2, lines 10-15). Thus, it would have been obvious (if not inherent) to one of ordinary skill to us e data packets in Lin's et al. system and method to provide for interworking between two different network protocols (or SS7 and ISDN, as taught) in plural network elements (as may be read on the data packets information being between the SCP and SSP).

In reference to the call information being sent through an out-of-band signaling, this function is inherent of Advanced Intelligent Networks (AIN).

Claim 4 and 8 are rejected for the same reasons as claim 3. In regard to the limitation in claim 8 specifying, "wherein said formulating includes the service session with plural network elements, and accessing the network elements in parallel", Lin et al. teaches "....if a represents a feature serviced by one SCP and B another feature serviced by another SCP, three different outcomes are possible...or (iii) simultaneously." (See Background, Col. 3, lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teachings of Lin et al. regarding the simultaneous querying of SCPs in order to

Art Unit: 2642

provide with an AIN in which different services to be triggered may reside on more than one SCP.

All limitations in claim 10, but "wherein said deriving the service code includes classifying the call information" are rejected for the same reasons as claim 3. In regards to the aforementioned limitation; Lin et al. discloses the classification of call information in Col. 7, lines 11-17). The limitation specifying "wherein the service code comprises a service interaction scenario"; the broadly claimed "scenario" reads on any service taught by Lin et al.

As claimed by applicant on claim 11, the "service code" (or service category) is "based on features of the call information selected from the group consisting of screening (or screening services), routing (or routing services), translations (or number translation services), authorization and user-network interaction (as read on "screening services may require that parties to these calls be able to enter additional digits"), and recording (or call logging services)(See Col. 7, lines 5-65).

In reference to "call information selected from internet services"; the Examiner takes Official Notice. It is well known in the art that AIN elements, such as SCP, may determine if a call is to be routed to an Internet carrier.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2642

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marie C. Ubiles whose telephone number is (703) 305-0684. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marie C. Ubiles July 19, 2004. AHMAD MATAR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Mhmae Meta